arXiv:1210.2608v1 [math.NT] 9 Oct 2012

AN EXPLICIT COMPUTATION OF p-STABILIZED VECTORS

MICHITAKA MIYAUCHI AND TAKUYA YAMAUCHI

Abstract. In this paper, we give a method to compute p-stabilized vectors in the space of parahori-fixed vectors for connected reductive groups over p-adic fields. The application to the global setting is also discussed. In particular, we give an explicit p-stabilized form of a Saito-Kurokawa lift.

1. Introduction

Let F be a non-archimedean local field, $\mathfrak{o}$ the ring of integers of F, $\mathfrak{p}$ the maximal ideal of $\mathfrak{o}$, ϖ a uniformizer of F, and $\mathbb{F}=\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{p}$ the residue field of F. We normalize the valuation $|\cdot|$ of F so that $|\varpi|=q^{-1}$, where q is the cardinality of $\mathbb{F}$. Let G be a connected reductive group defined over F, B its standard Borel subgroup and $K=G(\mathfrak{o})$ a maximal compact subgroup of $G(F)$ if it is possible to define. Let P be a parabolic subgroup of G which contains B and $K_P=\{g\in K|\ g \bmod \mathfrak{p}\in P(\mathbb{F})\}$ the parahoric subgroup of K associated to P.

Let π be an irreducible smooth representation of $G(F)$ such that the space π^{K_P} of K_P-fixed vectors in π is non-trivial. Then the Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_{K_P}$ of $G(F)$ associated to K_P acts on π^{K_P}. In this paper, we firstly establish a method to compute the eigenvalues for special elements of $\mathcal{H}_{K_P}$, which are called "U_p-operators". Secondary we give an explicit construction of simultaneous eigenvectors for U_p-operators, which are called "p-stabilized vectors".

The idea to compute eigenvalues of U_p-operators is to consider the Jacquet module of π associated to P. When P is the standard Borel subgroup of $G(F)$, this will be a well-known method for experts. We extend a result of Casselman [5] which is proved only for the standard Borel subgroup to any parabolic subgroup P and any open compact subgroup of $G(F)$ which has an Iwahori factorization relative to P in the sense of [5]. Then we can deduce a calculation of the eigenvalues for U_p-operators to the same problem for the actions of the elements corresponding to those operators on the Jacquet modules (Proposition 2.3).

Our results would give a tool to study an arithmetic investigation of automorphic forms in Iwasawa theory, Hida theory, or deformation theory of Galois representations [13],[19],[18]. In particular, we will know that what kind of p-stabilized forms can be embedded into a Hida family with respect a parabolic subgroup of G.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we study the action of Hecke algebras on the space of parahori-fixed vectors by using Jacquet modules. In Section 3, we introduce the notion of U_p-operators and p-stabilized vectors. In Section 4, we outline a method to construct p-stabilized vectors when π has a non-zero K-fixed vector. Without this assumption on π, it seems to be difficult to check the non-triviality of the vector which we will construct. In Section 5, 6, and 7, we make up a list of all U_p-eigenvalues and p-stabilized vectors for $GL_2, U(2,1)$, and GSp_4. A relation to the global setting is discussed in Section 8, and then global p-stabilized forms are given in the final section in the cases of GL_2 and GSp_4. In particular, we give it for Saito-Kurokawa lifts where the existence is already discussed in Proposition 4.2.2, p.688 of [18] (see (6) and (7)).

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11F85, 22E50.

Key words and phrases. Jacquet module, U_p-operators, p-stabilization .

The authors would like to thank Professor Moriyama for helpful conversations. The second author is partially supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research No.23740027.

2. THE ACTION OF HECKE OPERATORS VIA JACQUET MODULES

We keep the notations in Section 1. In this section, we study parahori-fixed vectors of smooth representations of $G(F)$. We fix a maximal torus T of G and a minimal parabolic subgroup B of $G(F)$ which contains T. Then we have the Levi decomposition $B = TU$, where U is the unipotent radical of B. Let P be a parabolic subgroup of $G(F)$ containing B with Levi decomposition $P = MN$. We denote by $\overline{N}$ the unipotent radical of the parabolic subgroup opposite to P. For any smooth representation (π, V) of $G(F)$, we define its Jacquet module (π_N, V_N) as follows (cf. Section 3 of [5]): Set $V(N) = \langle \pi(n)v - v \,|\, n \in N,\ v \in V\rangle$ and $V_N = V/V(N)$. We define a representation (π_N, V_N) of M by

$$\pi_N(m)r_N(v) = \delta_P^{-\frac{1}{2}}(m)r_N(\pi(m)v),\ m \in M,\ v \in V,$$

where r_N is the natural projection from V to V_N and δ_P is the modulus character of P.

Let $I := \{g \in K \,|\, g \bmod \mathfrak{p} \in B(\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{p})\}$ be the standard Iwahori subgroup of $G(F)$. If a smooth representation (π, V) of $G(F)$ is admissible, then by p.7, Theorem of [7], the canonical projection $r_U : V \to V_U$ induces a linear isomorphism

$$V^I \xrightarrow{\sim} (V_U)^{I\cap T}. \tag{1}$$

The following theorem generalizes this isomorphism to any parabolic subgroups.

Theorem 2.1. *Let (π, V) be an admissible representation of $G(F)$. Suppose that a compact subgroup J of $G(F)$ contains I and it has an Iwahori factorization with respect to P in the sense of* [5]. *Then the canonical projection $r_N : V \to V_N$ induces a linear isomorphism*

$$V^J \xrightarrow{\sim} (V_N)^{J\cap M}.$$

Proof. By Theorem 3.3 of [5], the map $r_N : V^J \longrightarrow (V_N)^{J\cap M}$ is surjective. We now prove the injectivity of this map. By (1), we get $V^I \cap V(U) = \{0\}$. Since $I \subset J$ and $U \supset N$, we have $V^I \supset V^J$ and $V(U) \supset V(N)$. This gives us that $V^J \cap V(N) \subset V^I \cap V(U) = \{0\}$. This implies that $r_N : V^J \longrightarrow (V_N)^{J\cap M}$ is injective. □

As in Theorem 2.1, let J be a compact subgroup of $G(F)$ which contains I and has an Iwahori factorization with respect to P.

Definition 2.2 ([4] Definition 6.5)**.** We say that an element $m \in M$ is *positive relative to* (P, J) if the following conditions are fulfilled:

$$m(J \cap N)m^{-1} \subset J \cap N,\ m^{-1}(J \cap \overline{N})m \subset J \cap \overline{N}.$$

We denote by M^+ the set of all positive elements in M. We say that an element m in M is *negative relative to* (P, J) if m^{-1} is positive. We write M^- for the set of all negative elements in M.

Given a compact open subgroup J of $G(F)$, we define the Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_J := \mathcal{H}[G(F)//J]$ of $G(F)$ associated to J to be the space of all compactly supported functions $f : G(F) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ which satisfy $f(k_1gk_2) = f(g)$, for $k_1, k_2 \in J$ and $g \in G(F)$. Then $\mathcal{H}_J$ becomes an algebra under the convolution with respect to the Haar measure on $G(F)$ normalized so that the volume of J is one. For any $g \in G(F)$, we denote by $f_g = [JgJ] \in \mathcal{H}_J$ the characteristic function of JgJ. Since the algebra $\mathcal{H}_J$ is generated by $f_g,\ g \in G(F)$, if we define $\mathcal{H}_{J,\mathbb{Q}} := \mathbb{Q}[f_g \,|\, g \in G(F)]$, then we have $\mathcal{H}_J = \mathcal{H}_{J,\mathbb{Q}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}$. For any $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra A, we put $\mathcal{H}_{J,A} := \mathcal{H}_{J,\mathbb{Q}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} A$.

If (π, V) is a smooth representation of $G(F)$, then the Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_J$ acts on V^J (cf. [5]). We denote by Z_M the center of M. We consider Hecke operators associated to positive elements in Z_M.

Proposition 2.3. *Let J be as above and (π, V) an admissible representation of $G(F)$. Then for any $\zeta \in Z_M \cap M^+$, we have*

$$r_N(\pi(f_\zeta)v) = \delta_P^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\zeta)\pi_N(\zeta)r_N(v), \;\; v \in V^J,$$

where $r_N : V^J \xrightarrow{\sim} (V_N)^{J\cap M}$ is the isomorphism given in Theorem 2.1.

Proof. For $\zeta \in Z_M \cap M^+$ and $v \in V^J$, we have

$$\pi(f_\zeta)v = \int_{J\zeta J} \pi(g)vdg = \sum_{k\in J/J\cap\zeta J\zeta^{-1}} \pi(k\zeta)v.$$

Since we assume that J has an Iwahori factorization, we get $J = (J\cap\overline{N})(J\cap M)(J\cap N)$. Because ζ is positive and it belongs to Z_M, we have that $J \cap \zeta J\zeta^{-1} = (J \cap \overline{N})(J \cap M)\zeta(J \cap N)\zeta^{-1}$, so that $J/J \cap \zeta J\zeta^{-1} = (J \cap N)/\zeta(J \cap N)\zeta^{-1}$. Therefore we obtain

$$\pi(f_\zeta)v = \sum_{k\in(J\cap N)/\zeta(J\cap N)\zeta^{-1}} \pi(k\zeta)v.$$

Since $r_N(\pi(k\zeta)v) = r_N(\pi(\zeta)v) = \delta_P^{\frac{1}{2}}(\zeta)\pi_N(\zeta)r_N(v)$, $k \in J \cap N$ and $[J \cap N : \zeta(J \cap N)\zeta^{-1}] = \delta_P^{-1}(\zeta)$, we have

$$r_N(\pi(f_\zeta)v) = \delta_P^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\zeta)\pi_N(\zeta)r_N(v),$$

as required. □

Proposition 2.4. *Suppose that an open compact subgroup J of $G(F)$ has an Iwahori factorization relative to P. Then f_{ζ_1} and f_{ζ_2} are commutative, for any ζ_1, $\zeta_2 \in Z_M \cap M^+$.*

Proof. We shall claim that $f_{\zeta_1} * f_{\zeta_2} = f_{\zeta_1\zeta_2}$. Then we obtain

$$f_{\zeta_1} * f_{\zeta_2} = f_{\zeta_1\zeta_2} = f_{\zeta_2\zeta_1} = f_{\zeta_2} * f_{\zeta_1}$$

because $\zeta_1\zeta_2 = \zeta_2\zeta_1$. It follows from [4] (6.6) that

$$[J\zeta_1 J : J] = [J \cap \overline{N} : \zeta_1^{-1}(J \cap \overline{N})\zeta_1][J \cap M : \zeta_1^{-1}(J \cap M)\zeta_1 \cap (J \cap M)].$$

Hence we get

$$[J\zeta_1 J : J] = [J \cap \overline{N} : \zeta_1^{-1}(J \cap \overline{N})\zeta_1]$$

since ζ_1 lies in the center of M. Similarly, we obtain $[J\zeta_2 J : J] = [J \cap \overline{N} : \zeta_2^{-1}(J \cap \overline{N})\zeta_2]$ and $[J\zeta_1\zeta_2 J : J] = [J \cap \overline{N} : (\zeta_1\zeta_2)^{-1}(J \cap \overline{N})\zeta_1\zeta_2]$. Since ζ_1 and ζ_2 are both positive, we have

$$J \cap \overline{N} \supset \zeta_2^{-1}(J \cap \overline{N})\zeta_2 \supset (\zeta_1\zeta_2)^{-1}(J \cap \overline{N})\zeta_1\zeta_2.$$

So we obtain

$$[J\zeta_1\zeta_2 J : J] = [J\zeta_1 J : J][J\zeta_2 J : J],$$

and hence $f_{\zeta_1} * f_{\zeta_2} = f_{\zeta_1\zeta_2}$ because of Proposition 2.2 in Chapter 3 of [9]. □

3. p-STABILIZATION

For simplicity, we assume that the dimension of the center of G is at most one. Let π be an irreducible smooth representation of $G(F)$. Then π is admissible by [11]. In this section, we give a notion of p-stabilization (or p-stabilized vectors) for parahori-fixed vectors in π.

Let Δ be the set of all simple roots of (G, T) which is a subset of the cocharacter group $X^*(T)$. Let P be a parabolic subgroup of $G(F)$ containing B, $P = MN$ its Levi decomposition, and K_P the parahoric subgroup which corresponds to P. Let Δ_P be the subset of Δ corresponding to P. We define T_P^- to be the semi-group consisting of the elements t in $T/T(\mathfrak{o})$ such that

$$|\alpha(t)| \leq 1 \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Delta \text{ and } t(K_P \cap N)t^{-1} \subset K_P \cap N. \tag{2}$$

We can choose the complete system of representatives for T_P^- as elements in Z_M. We consider the lexicographical order on T with respect to the fixed norm $|\cdot|$ of F. This order naturally induces an order of T_P^-. Put $m_P = \sharp(\Delta \setminus \Delta_P)$. Note that $\Delta_B = \emptyset$. For each $\alpha \in \Delta$, there exists $t_\alpha \in T_B^-$ such that $\mathrm{ord}_\varpi \alpha(t_\alpha) = 1$ and $\mathrm{ord}_\varpi \alpha(t_\beta) = 0$ for all $\beta \in \Delta \setminus \{\alpha\}$. Put

$$t_{m_P+1} := \begin{cases} \varpi^{-1}\mathrm{Id}, & \text{if } Z_M \supset F^\times \\ \mathrm{Id}, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where Id is the identity element of $G(F)$. We write $\Delta \setminus \Delta_P = \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{m_P}\}$ and $t_i = t_{\alpha_i}$ for $i \in \{1, \ldots, m_P\}$ so that $t_1 \leq t_2 \leq \cdots \leq t_{m_P}$. Then T_P^- is generated by $t_1, \ldots, t_{m_P}, t_{m_P+1}$ as a semi-group. For any $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra A which is contained in $\mathbb{C}$, we consider the subring $\mathcal{U}_{P,A} := A[[K_P t K_P] \mid t \in T_P^-]$ of the Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_{K_P,A}$ over A.

Lemma 3.1. *Put $U_{\varpi,i}^P := [K_P t_i K_P] \in \mathcal{H}_{K_P}$, for $i \in \{1, \ldots, m_P + 1\}$. Then the ring $\mathcal{U}_{P,A}$ is a commutative A-algebra generated by $U_{\varpi,1}^P, \ldots, U_{\varpi,m_P+1}^P$.*

Proof. Recall that the complete system of representatives for T_P^- can be choose in $Z_M \cap M^+$. Then the commutativity follows from Proposition 2.4 and the later claim follows because T_P^- is generated by $t_1, \ldots, t_{m_P}, t_{m_P+1}$. □

Definition 3.2. Let (π, V) be an irreducible smooth representation of $G(F)$ such that $V^{K_P} \neq \{0\}$. We say that a non-zero vector v in V^{K_P} is *a p-stabilized vector with respect to $\widehat{P}$* if it is a simultaneous eigenvector for all $U_{\varpi,1}^P, \ldots, U_{\varpi,m_P}^P$. Here $\widehat{P}$ is the Langlands dual of P (cf. [2]).

Remark 3.3. *The condition (2) on T_P^- is crucial to get the commutativity of $\mathcal{U}_{P,A}$. In general, this property does not hold for $\mathcal{H}_{K_P,A}$.*

4. Construction of p-stabilized vectors

Let (π, V) be an irreducible smooth representation of $G(F)$ which has a non-zero K-fixed vector. In this section, we give a method to produce p-stabilized vectors for π. Let $P = MN$ be a parabolic subgroup of $G(F)$ containing B. Then by Theorem 2.1, the Jacquet functor r_N induces an isomorphism $r_N : V^{K_P} \simeq (V_N)^{K_P \cap M}$. We set $W = (V_N)^{K_P \cap M}$. Let H denote the subgroup of Z_M generated by $t_1, \ldots, t_{m_P} \in T_P^-$. For a quasi-character χ of H and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define

$$W_{\chi,n} = \{w \in W \mid (\pi_N(t) - \chi(t))^n w = 0 \text{ for any } t \in H\}$$

and put $W_{\chi,\infty} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} W_{\chi,n}$. We can define $(V_N)_{\chi,\infty}$ in a similar manner. Let $\mathcal{S}$ denote the set of quasi-characters χ of H such that $W_{\chi,\infty} \neq \{0\}$. Since W is a finite-dimensional H-module, we have

$$W = \bigoplus_{\chi \in \mathcal{S}} W_{\chi,\infty}.$$

For an element w in W, w is a simultaneous eigenvector for $t_1, \ldots, t_{m_P}$ if and only if w lies in $W_{\chi,1}$, for some $\chi \in \mathcal{S}$.

Let ϕ_K be a non-zero K-fixed vector in V. By the Iwasawa decomposition $G = PK$, the element $v = r_N(\phi_K)$ generates V_N as a M-module, so does W. Since H is contained in the center of M, we have

$$V_N = \bigoplus_{\chi \in \mathcal{S}} (V_N)_{\chi,\infty}$$

as a M-module. We claim that the $W_{\chi,\infty}$-component of v is not zero, for any $\chi \in \mathcal{S}$. If the $W_{\chi,\infty}$-component of v is zero, then v lies in the proper M-submodule $\bigoplus_{\chi' \neq \chi} (V_N)_{\chi,\infty}$ of V_N. This contradicts the fact that v generates V_N as a M-module. So the claim follows.

We fix a character χ of H in $\mathcal{S}$. For any $\chi' \in \mathcal{S}$ which is different from χ, there exists an integer $1 \le i(\chi') \le m_P$ such that $\chi(t_{i(\chi')}) \neq \chi'(t_{i(\chi')})$. Put $n(\chi') = \dim W_{\chi',\infty}$. Then

$$v' = \prod_{\chi' \neq \chi} (\pi_N(t_{i(\chi')}) - \chi'(t_{i(\chi')}))^{n(\chi')} v$$

is a non-zero vector in $W_{\chi,\infty}$. Therefore, there exist integers $n(\chi, i) \ge 0$ and $1 \le i \le m_P$ such that $v'' := \prod_{1 \le i \le m_P} (\pi_N(t_i) - \chi(t_i))^{n(\chi,i)} v'$ is a non-zero vector in $W_{\chi,1}$. By Proposition 2.3,

$$\phi = \prod_{1 \le i \le m_P} (\delta_P^{\frac{1}{2}}(t_i)\pi(U^P_{\varpi,i}) - \chi(t_i))^{n(\chi,i)} \prod_{\chi' \neq \chi} (\delta_P^{\frac{1}{2}}(t_{i(\chi')})\pi(U^P_{\varpi,i(\chi')}) - \chi'(t_{i(\chi')}))^{n(\chi')} \phi_K$$

is a p-stabilized vector with respect to $\widehat{P}$, which satisfies

$$\pi(U^P_{\varpi,i})\phi = \delta_P(t_i)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \chi(t_i)\phi,$$

for all $i \in \{1, \dots, m_P\}$.

In the following series of sections, we give examples of p-stabilized vectors in various settings.

5. GL_2-CASE

Let α be the simple root of GL_2 such that $\alpha : T \longrightarrow F^\times$, $\mathrm{diag}(a, b) \mapsto ab^{-1}$. We have a U_p-operator $U^B_{\varpi,1} = [It_1I]$, where $t_1 = \mathrm{diag}(1, \varpi^{-1})$. Let $\pi = \pi(\chi)$ be an unramified principal series representation of $GL_2(F)$ where $\chi = \chi_1 \otimes \chi_2$ and χ_1, χ_2 are unramified quasi-characters of $F^\times$. Then π has a non-zero K-fixed vector ϕ_0, where $K = GL_2(\mathfrak{o})$. We shall give an explicit p-stabilized vector for π. The semisimplification of π_U is

$$\chi_1 \otimes \chi_2 + \chi_2 \otimes \chi_1.$$

The element t_1 acts on each irreducible component of π_U by $\chi_2(\varpi^{-1})$, $\chi_1(\varpi^{-1})$ respectively. If $\chi_1(\varpi^{-1}) \neq \chi_2(\varpi^{-1})$, then we have $\pi_U = (\chi_1 \otimes \chi_2) \oplus (\chi_2 \otimes \chi_1)$. It follows from Proposition 2.3 and the result in Section 4 that

$$f_1 := (\delta_B^{\frac{1}{2}}(t_1)U^B_{\varpi,1} - \chi_2(\varpi^{-1}))\phi_0,\ f_2 := (\delta_B^{\frac{1}{2}}(t_1)U^B_{\varpi,1} - \chi_1(\varpi^{-1}))\phi_0$$

are p-stabilized vectors with respect to B with eigenvalues $q^{\frac{1}{2}}\chi_1(\varpi^{-1})$, $q^{\frac{1}{2}}\chi_2(\varpi^{-1})$ respectively.

If $\chi_1(\varpi^{-1}) = \chi_2(\varpi^{-1})$, then we have $\chi_1 = \chi_2$ since χ_1 and χ_2 are unramified. In this case, any irreducible component of π_U is isomorphic to $\chi_1 \otimes \chi_1$, but π_U is not decomposed as $(\chi_1 \otimes \chi_1)^{\oplus 2}$. This follows from the fact that $\mathbb{C} \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{GL_2(F)}(\pi, \pi) = \mathrm{Hom}_T(\pi_U, \chi_1 \otimes \chi_1)$ (Schur's lemma and Frobenius reciprocity). In this case, $f_3 := (\delta_B^{\frac{1}{2}}(t_1)U^B_{\varpi,1} - \chi_1(\varpi^{-1}))\phi_0$ is a p-stabilized vector with respect to B with the eigenvalue $q^{\frac{1}{2}}\chi_1(\varpi^{-1})$.

We can express f_i in terms of Iwahori fixed vectors as follows. Let ϕ be the generator of π^K so that $\phi(1) = 1$ (here we view ϕ as a Whittaker vector with respect to a non-trivial additive character of F). Choose a basis $\{\phi,\ \phi' = \pi(t_1^{-1})\phi\}$ of π^I. Then we have

$$U^B_{\varpi,1}(\phi, \phi') = (\phi, \phi') \begin{pmatrix} a(\phi) & q \\ -\chi_1(\varpi^{-1})\chi_2(\varpi^{-1}) & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $a(\phi) = \chi_1(\varpi^{-1}) + \chi_2(\varpi^{-1})$. Therefore we have

$$\chi_1(\varpi)f_1 = \phi - q^{-\frac{1}{2}}\chi_2(\varpi^{-1})\phi',\ \chi_2(\varpi)f_2 = \phi - q^{-\frac{1}{2}}\chi_1(\varpi^{-1})\phi'$$

(see Section 9.1 for the relation to the global setting). It is the same for f_3.

6. $U(2,1)$-CASE

Let $U(2,1)$ be the quasi-split unitary group in three variables associated to an quadratic extension E/F. Put

$$\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We realize $U(2,1)$ as the subgroup of GL_3/E consisting of all g such that ${}^t\overline{g}\Phi g = \Phi$ where $\overline{g} = \tau(g)$ for the non-trivial element τ in $\mathrm{Gal}(E/F)$. Let B be the upper triangular Borel subgroup of $U(2,1)$, T the diagonal subgroup of B and $K = U(2,1) \cap GL_3(\mathfrak{o}_E)$, where $\mathfrak{o}_E$ is the ring of integers in E. We write E^1 for the norm-one subgroup of E/F. Then T is isomorphic to $E^\times \times E^1$. Let χ be an unramified quasi-character of $E^\times$ and let $\mathbf{1}_{E^1}$ denote the trivial character of E^1.

Due to [12], the corresponding parabolically induced representation $\mathrm{Ind}_B^{U(2,1)}(\chi \otimes \mathbf{1}_{E^1})$ is irreducible except for the following cases:

1. $\chi = |\cdot|_E^{\pm}$, where $|\cdot|_E$ denotes the noamalized absolute value of E;
2. $\chi|_{F^\times} = \omega_{E/F}|\cdot|^{\pm}$, where $\omega_{E/F}$ is the non-trivial character of $F^\times$ which is trivial on $N_{E/F}(E^\times)$;
3. $\chi|_{F^\times}$ is trivial and χ is not trivial.

Suppose that $\pi = \mathrm{Ind}_B^{U(2,1)}(\chi \otimes \mathbf{1}_{E^1})$ is irreducible. Then π has a non-zero K-fixed vector ϕ_0. We shall produce an explicit p-stabilized vector for π.

We fix a uniformizer ϖ_E of E and set

$$t_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \varpi_E & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \overline{\varpi}_E^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let U be the unipotent radical of B. Then t_1 is positive relative to (B,U). The semisimplification of π_U is $\chi \otimes \mathbf{1}_{E^1} + \overline{\chi}^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{E^1}$, where $\overline{\chi}$ denotes the quasi-character of $E^\times$ defined by $\overline{\chi}(x) = \chi(\overline{x})$, for $x \in E^\times$. The element t_1 acts on $\chi \otimes \mathbf{1}_{E^1}$ and $\overline{\chi}^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{E^1}$ by $\chi(\varpi_E)$ and $\overline{\chi}^{-1}(\varpi_E)$ respectively. As in the GL_2-case, by Proposition 2.3 and the result in Section 4,

$$(\delta_B^{\frac{1}{2}}(t_1)U_{\varpi,1}^B - \overline{\chi}^{-1}(\varpi_E))\phi_0,\ (\delta_B^{\frac{1}{2}}(t_1)U_{\varpi,1}^B - \chi(\varpi_E))\phi_0$$

are p-stabilized vectors with respect to B with eigenvalues $q_E\chi(\varpi_E)$ and $q_E\overline{\chi}^{-1}(\varpi_E)$ respectively.

7. GSp_4-CASE

Hereafter we follows the notations in [15]. Put

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We realize $GSp_4(F)$ as the subgroup of $GL_4(F)$ consisting of all g such that ${}^tgJg = \lambda J$, for some $\lambda \in F^\times$. Let B be the Borel subgroup of $GSp_4(F)$ consisting of the upper triangular elements, T the diagonal subgroup of B and U the unipotent radical of B. Let P (respectively Q) be the Siegel (respectively Klingen) parabolic subgroup of $GSp_4(F)$ containing B. Let $P = M_PN_P$ and $Q = M_QN_Q$ be Levi decompositions. Let α_1, α_2 be simple roots defined by $\alpha_1(t) = ab^{-1}$ and $\alpha_2(t) = b^2c^{-1}$ for $t = \mathrm{diag}(a, b, cb^{-1}, ca^{-1})$, $a, b, c \in F^\times$. Note that $\Delta_P = \{\alpha_1\}$ and $\Delta_Q = \{\alpha_2\}$. Thus there exist two U_p-operators $U_{\varpi,i}^B = [It_iI]$, $i = 1, 2$ where $t_1 = \mathrm{diag}(1, 1, \varpi^{-1}, \varpi^{-1})$ and $t_2 = \mathrm{diag}(1, \varpi^{-1}, \varpi^{-1}, \varpi^{-2})$. Note that t_1, t_2 are positive elements in T_B^- relative to (B, I), and

t_1 (respectively t_2) is a positive element in T_P^- (respectively T_Q^-) relative to (P, K_P) (respectively (Q, K_Q)). In this section, we give p-stabilized vectors according to the classification of the parahori-spherical representations of $GSp_4(F)$ by Roberts and Schmidt [15].

7.1. **Iwahori case.** In this subsection, we study p-stabilized vectors with respect to $\widehat{B} = B$. The strategy taking here is as follows:

(1) Let (π, V) be an irreducible admissible representation of $GSp_4(F)$ admitting a non-zero Iwahori-fixed vector. Then π is an irreducible constituent of some unramified principal series representation $\chi_1 \times \chi_2 \rtimes \sigma$. We use the classification of such representations in Table A.15 of [15].

(2) We make up a list of the simultaneous eigenvalues for U_p-operators $U^B_{\varpi,1}$, $U^B_{\varpi,2}$ in terms of the following Satake parameters of $\chi_1 \times \chi_2 \rtimes \sigma$:

$$\alpha = \chi_1\chi_2\sigma(\varpi^{-1}),\ \beta = \chi_1\sigma(\varpi^{-1}),\ \gamma = \chi_2\sigma(\varpi^{-1}),\ \delta = \sigma(\varpi^{-1}). \tag{3}$$

By Proposition 2.3, the problem is deduced the computation of the simultaneous eigenvalues for t_1, t_2 on $(V_U)^{I\cap T}$. We note that $V_U = (V_U)^{I\cap T}$. Table A.3 of [15] gives the semisimplification of the Jacquet module π_{N_P} of π associated to the Siegel parabolic subgroup P. So we can easily get the semisimplification π_U^{ss} of π_U by using the transitivity of Jacquet functors. The elements t_1, t_2 act on each irreducible component of π_U^{ss} by its central character. Thus we can obtain the set S' of pairs of simultaneous eigenvalues for (t_1, t_2) on $V_U = (V_U)^{I\cap T}$. It will turns out that S' is contained in S, where S is the set of pairs of simultaneous eigenvalues for (t_1, t_2) on $(\chi_1 \times \chi_2 \rtimes \sigma)_U = (\chi_1 \times \chi_2 \rtimes \sigma)_U^{I\cap T}$, that is,

$$S = \{(\delta, \delta\gamma), (\delta, \delta\beta), (\alpha, \alpha\beta), (\alpha, \alpha\gamma), (\gamma, \gamma\delta), (\gamma, \gamma\alpha), (\beta, \beta\delta), (\beta, \beta\alpha)\}.$$

(3) Suppose that S' contains just $\dim V_U$-elements. Since t_1, t_2 generates T/Z_G, this implies that $(\pi_U)^{T\cap I}$ is a semisimple and multiplicity-free T-module. We further assume that π has a non-zero K-fixed vector ϕ_K. In this case, given an element (s, t) in S', the vector

$$\phi_{s,t} := \prod_{\substack{(s',t')\in S' \\ s'\neq s}} (\delta_B^{\frac{1}{2}}(t_1)U^B_{\varpi,1} - s') \prod_{\substack{(s',t')\in S' \\ t'\neq t}} (\delta_B^{\frac{1}{2}}(t_2)U^B_{\varpi,2} - t')\phi_K$$

is a p-stabilized vector with respect to B with eigenvalues $(\delta_B^{-\frac{1}{2}}(t_1)s, \delta_B^{-\frac{1}{2}}(t_2)t)$ because of Proposition 2.3 and the result in Section 4. We note that Table A. 15 of [15] gives a list of the K-spherical representations of $GSp_4(F)$.

7.1.1. *Case I.* Let χ_1, χ_2, σ be unramified quasi-characters of $F^\times$. Then the corresponding parabolically induced representation $\pi = \chi_1 \times \chi_2 \rtimes \sigma$ is irreducible if and only if $\chi_1 \neq \nu^{\pm 1}$, $\chi_2 \neq \nu^{\pm 1}$ and $\chi_1 \neq \nu^{\pm 1}\chi_2^{\pm 1}$. Here write $\nu = |\cdot|$. Due to Table A. 15 of [15], π has a non-zero K-fixed vector. The semisimplification of π_{N_P} is given in Table A.3 of [15]. We use the notation in section A.3 of [15]. Let r_{B,M_P} denote the Jacquet functor from the category of the smooth representations of M_P to that of B. Note that the semisimplification of $r_{B,M_P}((\chi_1 \times \chi_2) \otimes \sigma)$ is $(\chi_1 \otimes \chi_2 \otimes \sigma) + (\chi_2 \otimes \chi_1 \otimes \sigma)$. Since $r_U = r_{B,M_P} \circ r_{N_P}$, the semisimplification of π_U is

$$(\chi_1 \otimes \chi_2 \otimes \sigma) + (\chi_2 \otimes \chi_1 \otimes \sigma) + (\chi_1^{-1} \otimes \chi_2^{-1} \otimes \chi_1\chi_2\sigma) + (\chi_2^{-1} \otimes \chi_1^{-1} \otimes \chi_1\chi_2\sigma) +$$
$$(\chi_1 \otimes \chi_2^{-1} \otimes \chi_2\sigma) + (\chi_2^{-1} \otimes \chi_1 \otimes \chi_2\sigma) + (\chi_2 \otimes \chi_1^{-1} \otimes \chi_1\sigma) + (\chi_1^{-1} \otimes \chi_2 \otimes \chi_1\sigma).$$

The elements t_1, t_2 act on each components of the semisimplification of π_U by the following pairs of scalars:

$$(\delta, \delta\gamma), (\delta, \delta\beta), (\alpha, \alpha\beta), (\alpha, \alpha\gamma), (\gamma, \gamma\delta), (\gamma, \gamma\alpha), (\beta, \beta\delta), (\beta, \beta\alpha).$$

The pairs above are pairwise distinct if and only if $\chi_1 \neq 1$, $\chi_2 \neq 1$ and $\chi_1 \neq \chi_2^{\pm 1}$.

7.1.2. *Case II.* Let χ, σ be unramified quasi-characters of $F^\times$ such that $\chi^2 \neq \nu^{\pm 1}$ and $\chi \neq \nu^{\pm\frac{3}{2}}$. Put $\chi_1 = \nu^{\frac{1}{2}}\chi$ and $\chi_2 = \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}}\chi$. In what follows, we consider the irreducible constituents π of $\chi_1 \times \chi_2 \rtimes \sigma$.

Case IIa. Put $\pi = \chi\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}(2)} \rtimes \sigma$. Noting that $r_{B,M_P}(\chi\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}(2)} \otimes \sigma) = \nu^{\frac{1}{2}}\chi \otimes \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}}\chi \otimes \sigma$. It follows from Table A.3 of [15] that the semi-simplification of π_U is

$$(\chi_1 \otimes \chi_2 \otimes \sigma) + (\chi_2^{-1} \otimes \chi_1^{-1} \otimes \chi_1\chi_2\sigma) + (\chi_1 \otimes \chi_2^{-1} \otimes \chi_2\sigma) + (\chi_2^{-1} \otimes \chi_1 \otimes \chi_2\sigma).$$

Hence t_i, $i = 1, 2$ act on each irreducible component of π_U by

$$(\delta, \delta\gamma), (\alpha, \alpha\gamma), (\gamma, \gamma\delta), (\gamma, \gamma\alpha)$$

respectively. The pairs above are pairwise distinct if and only if $\chi^2 \neq 1$.

Case IIb. If $\pi = \chi\mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{GL}(2)} \rtimes \sigma$, then π has a non-zero K-fixed vector. Since $r_{B,M_P}(\chi\mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{GL}(2)} \otimes \sigma) = \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}}\chi \otimes \nu^{\frac{1}{2}}\chi \otimes \sigma$, it follows from Table A.3 of [15] that the semisimplification of π_U is

$$(\chi_2 \otimes \chi_1 \otimes \sigma) + (\chi_1^{-1} \otimes \chi_2^{-1} \otimes \chi_1\chi_2\sigma) + (\chi_2 \otimes \chi_1^{-1} \otimes \chi_1\sigma) + (\chi_1^{-1} \otimes \chi_2 \otimes \chi_1\sigma).$$

The elements t_i, $i = 1, 2$ act on each components by

$$(\delta, \delta\beta), (\alpha, \alpha\beta), (\beta, \beta\delta), (\beta, \beta\alpha)$$

respectively. The pairs above are pairwise distinct if and only if $\chi^2 \neq 1$.

7.1.3. *Case III.* Let χ and ρ be unramified quasi-characters of $F^\times$. We assume that $\chi \neq 1$ and $\chi \neq \nu^{\pm 2}$. Put $\chi_1 = \chi$, $\chi_2 = \nu$, and $\sigma = \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}}\rho$. Next, we consider the irreducible constituents π of $\chi_1 \times \chi_2 \rtimes \sigma$.

Case IIIa. If $\pi = \chi \rtimes \rho\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GSp}(2)}$, then the semisimplification of π_U is

$$(\chi_1 \otimes \chi_2 \otimes \sigma) + (\chi_2 \otimes \chi_1 \otimes \sigma) + (\chi_2 \otimes \chi_1^{-1} \otimes \chi_1\sigma) + (\chi_1^{-1} \otimes \chi_2 \otimes \chi_1\sigma).$$

The actions of t_i, $i = 1, 2$ on each irreducible components of π_U are just

$$(\delta, \delta\gamma), (\delta, \delta\beta), (\beta, \beta\delta), (\beta, \beta\alpha)$$

respectively. The pairs above are pairwise distinct if and only if $\chi \neq \nu^{\pm 1}$.

Case IIIb. Suppose that $\pi = \chi \rtimes \rho 1_{\mathrm{GSp}(2)}$. Then π admits a non-zero K-fixed vector and the semisimplification of π_U is

$$(\chi_1^{-1} \otimes \chi_2^{-1} \otimes \chi_1\chi_2\sigma) + (\chi_2^{-1} \otimes \chi_1^{-1} \otimes \chi_1\chi_2\sigma) + (\chi_1 \otimes \chi_2^{-1} \otimes \chi_2\sigma) + (\chi_2^{-1} \otimes \chi_1 \otimes \chi_2\sigma).$$

Hence the actions of t_i, $i = 1, 2$ on each components are

$$(\alpha, \alpha\beta), (\alpha, \alpha\gamma), (\gamma, \gamma\delta), (\gamma, \gamma\alpha)$$

respectively. The pairs above are pairwise distinct if and only if $\chi \neq \nu^{\pm 1}$.

7.1.4. *Case IV.* Let ρ be an unramified quasi-character of $F^\times$. Put $\chi_1 = \nu^2$, $\chi_2 = \nu$, and $\sigma = \nu^{-\frac{3}{2}}\rho$. We will consider the irreducible constituents π of $\chi_1 \times \chi_2 \rtimes \sigma$. In this case, $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ are different from each other. So π_U is a semisimple and multiplicity-free T-submodule.

Case IVa. Suppose that $\pi = \rho\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GSp}(4)}$. Then we have $\pi_U = \chi_1 \otimes \chi_2 \otimes \sigma$, and t_i, $i = 1, 2$ act on it by $(\delta, \delta\gamma)$. Since $\dim \pi^I = 1$, any non-zero I-fixed vector is itself a p-stabilized vector with eigenvalues $(\delta_B^{-\frac{1}{2}}(t_1)\delta, \delta_B^{-\frac{1}{2}}(t_2)\delta\gamma)$.

Case IVb. If $\pi = L(\nu^2, \nu^{-1}\rho\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GSp}(2)})$, then π_U is isomorphic to

$$(\chi_2 \otimes \chi_1 \otimes \sigma) \oplus (\chi_2 \otimes \chi_1^{-1} \otimes \chi_1\sigma) \oplus (\chi_1^{-1} \otimes \chi_2 \otimes \chi_1\sigma).$$

Hence t_i, $i = 1, 2$ act on each components by

$$(\delta, \delta\beta), (\beta, \beta\delta), (\beta, \beta\alpha)$$

respectively.

Case IVc. Suppose that $\pi = L(\nu^{\frac{3}{2}}\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}(2)}, \nu^{-\frac{3}{2}}\rho)$. Then π_U is isomorphic to

$$(\chi_2^{-1} \otimes \chi_1^{-1} \otimes \chi_1\chi_2\sigma) \oplus (\chi_1 \otimes \chi_2^{-1} \otimes \chi_2\sigma) \oplus (\chi_2^{-1} \otimes \chi_1 \otimes \chi_2\sigma),$$

and t_i, $i = 1, 2$ act on each irreducible components by

$$(\alpha, \alpha\gamma), (\gamma, \gamma\delta), (\gamma, \gamma\alpha)$$

respectively.

Case IVd. If $\pi = \rho\mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{GSp}(4)}$, then we have $\dim \pi^I = \dim \pi^K = 1$, and hence $\pi^I = \pi^K$. In this case, we have $\pi_U = \chi_1^{-1} \otimes \chi_2^{-1} \otimes \chi_1\chi_2\sigma$, and t_i, $i = 1, 2$ act on π_U by $(\alpha, \alpha\beta)$. Thus any non-zero K-fixed vector is a p-stabilized vector with eigenvalues $(\delta_B^{-\frac{1}{2}}(t_1)\alpha, \delta_B^{-\frac{1}{2}}(t_2)\alpha\beta)$.

7.1.5. *Case V.* Let ξ and ρ be unramified quasi-characters of $F^\times$. We assume that $\xi^2 = 1$ and $\xi \neq 1$. Put $\chi_1 = \nu\xi$, $\chi_2 = \xi$, and $\sigma = \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}}\rho$. We consider the irreducible constituents π of $\chi_1 \times \chi_2 \rtimes \sigma$. In this case, $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ are different from each other. This means that π_U is a semisimple and multiplicity-free T-module.

Case Va. If $\pi = \delta([\xi, \nu\xi], \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}}\rho)$, then we have

$$\pi_U = (\chi_1 \otimes \chi_2 \otimes \sigma) \oplus (\chi_1 \otimes \chi_2^{-1} \otimes \chi_2\sigma).$$

The elements t_i, $i = 1, 2$ act on each components by

$$(\delta, \delta\gamma), (\gamma, \gamma\delta)$$

respectively.

Case Vb. Suppose that $\pi = L(\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}(2)}, \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}}\rho)$. Then we have

$$\pi_U = (\chi_2^{-1} \otimes \chi_1^{-1} \otimes \chi_1\chi_2\sigma) \oplus (\chi_2^{-1} \otimes \chi_1 \otimes \chi_2\sigma),$$

and hence t_i, $i = 1, 2$ act on each irreducible components by

$$(\alpha, \alpha\gamma), (\gamma, \gamma\alpha)$$

respectively.

Case Vc. In the case when $\pi = L(\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}(2)}, \xi\nu^{-\frac{1}{2}}\rho)$, we have

$$\pi_U = (\chi_2 \otimes \chi_1 \otimes \sigma) \oplus (\chi_2 \otimes \chi_1^{-1} \otimes \chi_1\sigma).$$

The elements t_i, $i = 1, 2$ act on each components by

$$(\delta, \delta\beta), (\beta, \beta\delta)$$

respectively.

Case Vd. If $\pi = L(\nu\xi, \xi \rtimes \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}}\rho)$, then π has a non-zero K-fixed vector. We have

$$\pi_U = (\chi_1^{-1} \otimes \chi_2^{-1} \otimes \chi_1\chi_2\sigma) \oplus (\chi_1^{-1} \otimes \chi_2 \otimes \chi_1\sigma).$$

Hence t_i, $i = 1, 2$ act on each components by

$$(\alpha, \alpha\beta), (\beta, \beta\alpha)$$

respectively.

7.1.6. *Case VI.* Let ρ be an unramified quasi-character of $F^\times$. Put $\chi_1 = \nu$, $\chi_2 = \mathbf{1}_{F^\times}$ and $\sigma = \nu^{-1/2}\rho$. Finally, we consider the irreducible constituents π of $\chi_1 \times \chi_2 \rtimes \sigma$. In this case, we have $\alpha = \beta,\ \gamma = \delta$ and $\alpha \neq \gamma$.

Case VIa. Suppose that $\pi = \tau(S, \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}}\rho)$. Then the semisimplification of π_U is

$$(\chi_1\chi_2 \otimes \chi_1 \otimes \sigma)^{\oplus 2} + (\chi_2 \otimes \chi_1 \otimes \sigma).$$

Hence the actions of t_i, $i = 1, 2$ on each components are

$$(\gamma, \gamma^2), (\gamma, \gamma^2), (\gamma, \gamma\alpha)$$

respectively.

Case VIb. If $\pi = \tau(T, \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}}\rho)$, then we have $\dim \pi^{K_P} = \dim \pi^I = 1$ by Table A. 15 in [15], and hence $\pi^I = \pi^{K_P}$. We have $\pi_U = \chi_2 \otimes \chi_1 \otimes \sigma$, and t_i, $i = 1, 2$ act on π_U by $(\gamma, \gamma\alpha)$. Therefore any non-zero K_P-spherical vector is a p-stabilized vector with eigenvalues $(\delta_B^{-\frac{1}{2}}(t_1)\gamma, \delta_B^{-\frac{1}{2}}(t_2)\gamma\alpha)$.

Case VIc. Suppose that $\pi = L(\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}(2)}, \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}}\rho)$. Then we get $\pi_U = \chi_2 \otimes \chi_1^{-1} \otimes \chi_1\sigma$, and t_i, $i = 1, 2$ acts on it by $(\alpha, \gamma\alpha)$. By Table A. 15 in [15], the space π^{K_Q} is one-dimensional. Therefore a non-zero K_Q-fixed vector is a p-stabilized vector with eigenvalues $(\delta_B^{-\frac{1}{2}}(t_1)\alpha, \delta_B^{-\frac{1}{2}}(t_2)\gamma\alpha)$.

Case VId. If $\pi = L(\nu, \mathbf{1}_{F^\times} \rtimes \nu^{-1/2}\rho)$, then π has a non-zero K-fixed vector. The semisimplification of π_U is

$$(\chi_1^{-1} \otimes \chi_2 \otimes \chi_1\sigma)^{\oplus 2} + (\chi_2 \otimes \chi_1^{-1} \otimes \chi_1\sigma).$$

Hence the actions of t_i, $i = 1, 2$ on each components are just

$$(\alpha, \alpha^2), (\alpha, \alpha^2), (\alpha, \gamma\alpha)$$

respectively.

In Table 1, we list the simultaneous eigenvalues for t_1, t_2 on π_U, where π is a representation in groups I-V. For a representation in group VI, we always have $\alpha = \beta,\ \gamma = \delta$ and $\alpha \neq \gamma$. So for such representations, we list the multiplicity of the simultaneous eigenvalues for t_1, t_2 on π_U in Table 2.

rep.	$(\alpha, \alpha\beta)$	$(\alpha, \alpha\gamma)$	$(\beta, \beta\alpha)$	$(\beta, \beta\delta)$	$(\gamma, \gamma\alpha)$	$(\gamma, \gamma\delta)$	$(\delta, \delta\beta)$	$(\delta, \delta\gamma)$	$\dim \pi^I$	$\dim \pi^K$
I	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	8	1
IIa	-	○	-	-	○	○	-	○	4	0
IIb	○	-	○	○	-	-	○	-	4	1
IIIa	-	-	○	○	-	-	○	○	4	0
IIIb	○	○	-	-	○	○	-	-	4	1
IVa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	○	1	0
IVb	-	-	○	○	-	-	○	-	3	0
IVc	-	○	-	-	○	○	-	-	3	0
IVd	○	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1
Va	-	-	-	-	-	○	-	○	2	0
Vb	-	○	-	-	○	-	-	-	2	0
Vc	-	-	-	○	-	-	○	-	2	0
Vd	○	-	○	-	-	-	-	-	2	1

Table 1.

representation	(α,α^2)	$(\alpha,\alpha\gamma)$	$(\gamma,\gamma\alpha)$	(γ,γ^2)	$\dim\pi^I$	$\dim\pi^K$
VIa	0	0	1	2	3	0
VIb	0	0	1	0	1	0
VIc	0	1	0	0	1	0
VId	2	1	0	0	3	1

TABLE 2.

7.2. **Siegel parahoric case.** In this subsection, we compute the eigenvalues of the U_p-operator $U^P_{\varpi,1}$, where P denotes the Siegel parabolic subgroup of $GSp_4(F)$. The strategy is as follows:

(1) We use the classification of the irreducible smooth representations (π,V) of $GSp_4(F)$ admitting K_P-fixed vectors in Table A.15 of [15]. As in the previous subsection, we realize π as an irreducible constituent of some unramified principal series representation $\chi_1\times\chi_2\rtimes\sigma$. We use the same notation as in subsection 7.1.

(2) We compute the set S' of eigenvalues of t_1 on $(V_{N_P})^{M_P\cap K_P}$ as follows: The semisimplification $\pi^{\mathrm{ss}}_{N_P}$ of the Jacquet module π_{N_P} of π associated to P is given in Table A.3 of [15]. We denote by $\pi_{N_P,M_P\cap K_P}$ the M_P-submodule of $\pi^{\mathrm{ss}}_{N_P}$ spanned by the $M_P\cap K_P$-fixed vectors in $\pi^{\mathrm{ss}}_{N_P}$. Note that for any irreducible admissible representation τ of $M_P\simeq GL_2(F)\times F^\times$, we have $\dim\tau^{M_P\cap K_P}\leq 1$. Thus the length of $\pi_{N_P,M_P\cap K_P}$ is equal to $\dim(V_{N_P})^{M_P\cap K_P}$. Since the element t_1 lies in the center of M_P, the eigenvalues of t_1 on $(V_{N_P})^{M_P\cap K_P}$ is just those of t_1 on $\pi_{N_P,M_P\cap K_P}$. So we can easily compute the eigenvalues of t_1 on $(V_{N_P})^{M_P\cap K_P}$ because t_1 acts on each irreducible component of $\pi_{N_P,M_P\cap K_P}$ by the central character. It will turns out that S' is contained in $S=\{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta\}$, where $\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta$ are the Satake parameters of $\chi_1\times\chi_2\rtimes\sigma$ defined in (3).

(3) Suppose that S' contains just $\dim(\pi_{N_P})^{K_P\cap M_P}$-elements. Then $(\pi_{N_P})^{K_P\cap M_P}$ is a semisimple and multiplicity-free Z_{M_P}-module because t_1 generates Z_{M_P} modulo $Z_{M_P}(\mathfrak{o})$. We further assume that π has a non-zero K-fixed vector ϕ_K. Then, given an element s in S', the vector
$$\phi_s:=\prod_{\substack{(s',t')\in S'\\ s'\neq s}}(\delta_P^{\frac{1}{2}}(t_1)U^P_{\varpi,1}-s')\phi_K$$
is a p-stabilized vector with respect to $\widehat{P}=Q$ with eigenvalue $\delta_P^{-\frac{1}{2}}(t_1)s$ because of Proposition 2.3 and the result in Section 4.

7.2.1. *Case I.* In this case, $\pi_{N_P,M_P\cap K_P}$ is
$$(\chi_1\times\chi_2)\otimes\sigma+(\chi_1^{-1}\times\chi_2^{-1})\otimes\chi_1\chi_2\sigma+(\chi_1\times\chi_2^{-1})\otimes\chi_2\sigma+(\chi_2\times\chi_1^{-1})\otimes\chi_1\sigma.$$
The element t_1 acts on each component of $\pi_{N_P,M_P\cap K_P}$ by
$$\delta,\alpha,\gamma,\beta$$
respectively.

7.2.2. *Case II.* **Case IIa**. In this case, we have $\pi_{N_P,M_P\cap K_P}=(\chi_1\times\chi_2^{-1})\otimes\chi_2\sigma$. The element t_1 acts on it by γ. Since $\dim V^{K_P}=1$, any non-zero K_P-fixed vector is a p-stabilized vector with respect to $\widehat{P}$.

Case IIb. In this case, we have
$$\pi_{N_P,M_P\cap K_P}=\chi\mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{GL}(2)}\otimes\sigma+\chi^{-1}\mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{GL}(2)}\otimes\chi^2\sigma+(\chi_2\times\chi_1^{-1})\otimes\chi_1\sigma,$$
and t_1 acts on each component by δ,α,β respectively.

7.2.3. *Case III.* **Case IIIa**. In this case, we obtain

$$\pi_{N_P,M_P\cap K_P}=(\chi_1\times\chi_2)\otimes\sigma+(\chi_2\times\chi_1^{-1})\otimes\chi_1\sigma,$$

and t_1 acts on each component by δ and β respectively.

Case IIIb. We get

$$\pi_{N_P,M_P\cap K_P}=(\chi_2^{-1}\times\chi_1^{-1})\otimes\chi_1\chi_2\sigma+(\chi_1\times\chi_2^{-1})\otimes\chi_2\sigma.$$

The element t_1 acts on each component by α and γ respectively.

7.2.4. *Case IV.* **Case IVa**. The representations in case IVa have no K_P-fixed vectors.

Case IVb. We obtain

$$\pi_{N_P,M_P\cap K_P}=\nu^{3/2}\mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{GL}(2)}\otimes\sigma+(\chi_2\times\chi_1^{-1})\otimes\chi_1\sigma.$$

The element t_1 acts on each component by δ and β respectively.

Case IVc. In this case, t_1 acts on $\pi_{N_P,M_P\cap K_P}=(\chi_1\times\chi_2^{-1})\otimes\chi_2\sigma$ by γ.

Case IVd. The element t_1 acts on $\pi_{N_P,M_P\cap K_P}=\nu^{-3/2}\mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{GL}(2)}\otimes\chi_1\chi_2\sigma$ by α.

7.2.5. *Case V.* **Case Va**. In this case, π admits no K_P-fixed vectors.

Case Vb. We have $\pi_{N_P,M_P\cap K_P}=\nu^{1/2}\xi\mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{GL}(2)}\otimes\chi_2\sigma$, and t_1 acts on it by γ.

Case Vc. The element t_1 acts on $\pi_{N_P,M_P\cap K_P}=\nu^{1/2}\xi\mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{GL}(2)}\otimes\sigma$ by δ.

Case Vd. In this case, we obtain

$$\pi_{N_P,M_P\cap K_P}=\nu^{-1/2}\xi\mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{GL}(2)}\otimes\chi_1\sigma\oplus\nu^{-1/2}\xi\mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{GL}(2)}\otimes\chi_1\chi_2\sigma.$$

So t_1 acts on each component by β and α respectively.

7.2.6. *Case VI.* **Case VIa**. The element t_1 acts on $\pi_{N_P,M_P\cap K_P}=\nu^{1/2}\mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{GL}(2)}\otimes\sigma$ by γ.

Case VIb. We have $\pi_{N_P,M_P\cap K_P}=\nu^{1/2}\mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{GL}(2)}\otimes\sigma$. The element t_1 acts it by γ.

Case VIc. In this case, π has no K_P-fixed vectors.

Case VId. We get $\pi_{N_P,M_P\cap K_P}=(\nu^{-1/2}\mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{GL}(2)}\otimes\chi_1\sigma)^{\oplus 2}$ and t_1 acts on each component by α.

In Table 3, we list the eigenvalues for t_1 on $(\pi_{N_P})^{M_P\cap K_P}$, where π is a representation in groups I-V. For representations in group VI, we list the multiplicity of the eigenvalues for t_1 on $(\pi_{N_P})^{M_P\cap K_P}$ in Table 4.

7.3. **Klingen parahoric case.** In this subsection, we compute the eigenvalues of the U_p-operator $U^Q_{\varpi,1}=[K_Qt_2K_Q]$. The strategy is exactly same to that for the Siegel parahoric case. So we shall be brief here.

1. According to the classification in Table A.15 of [15], we realize the representations (π,V) of $GSp_4(F)$ which admit K_Q-fixed vectors as an irreducible constituent of some unramified principal series representation $\chi_1\times\chi_2\rtimes\sigma$.
2. We compute the set S' of eigenvalues of t_2 on $(V_{N_Q})^{M_Q\cap K_Q}$ as follows: The semisimplification $\pi^{\mathrm{ss}}_{N_Q}$ of π_{N_Q} is given in Table A.3 of [15]. We denote by $\pi_{N_Q,M_Q\cap K_Q}$ the M_Q-submodule of $\pi^{\mathrm{ss}}_{N_Q}$ generated by the $M_Q\cap K_Q$-fixed vectors. Note that for any irreducible admissible representation τ of $M_Q\simeq F^\times\times GSp_2(F)$, we have $\dim\tau^{M_Q\cap K_Q}\le 1$. So the length of $\pi_{N_Q,M_Q\cap K_Q}$ is equal to $\dim(V_{N_Q})^{M_Q\cap K_Q}$. Since $t_2\in Z_{M_Q}$, the eigenvalues of t_2 on $(V_{N_Q})^{M_Q\cap K_Q}$ is just those of t_2 on $\pi_{N_Q,M_Q\cap K_Q}$. So we can compute the eigenvalues of t_2 on $(V_{N_Q})^{M_Q\cap K_Q}$ because t_2 acts on each irreducible component of $\pi_{N_Q,M_Q\cap K_Q}$ by the

representation	α	β	γ	δ	$\dim \pi^{K_P}$	$\dim \pi^{K}$
I	○	○	○	○	4	1
IIa	-	-	○	-	1	0
IIb	○	○	-	○	3	1
IIIa	-	○	-	○	2	0
IIIb	○	-	○	-	2	1
IVa	-	-	-	-	0	0
IVb	-	○	-	○	2	0
IVc	-	-	○	-	1	0
IVd	○	-	-	-	1	1
Va	-	-	-	-	0	0
Vb	-	-	○	-	1	0
Vc	-	-	-	○	1	0
Vd	○	○	-	-	2	1

Table 3.

representation	α	γ	$\dim \pi^{K_P}$	$\dim \pi^{K}$
VIa	0	1	1	0
VIb	0	1	1	0
VIc	0	0	0	0
VId	2	0	2	1

Table 4.

central character. It will turns out that S' is contained in $S = \{\alpha\beta, \alpha\gamma, \delta\beta, \delta\gamma\}$, where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ are the Satake parameters of $\chi_1 \times \chi_2 \rtimes \sigma$ defined in (3).

(3) If S' contains just $\dim(\pi_{N_Q})^{K_Q \cap M_Q}$-elements, then $(\pi_{N_Q})^{K_Q \cap M_Q}$ is a semisimple and multiplicity-free Z_{M_Q}-module. We further assume that π has a non-zero K-fixed vector ϕ_K. Then, given an element s in S', the vector

$$\phi_s := \prod_{\substack{(s',t') \in S' \\ s' \neq s}} (\delta_Q^{\frac{1}{2}}(t_2) U^{Q}_{\varpi,1} - s')\phi_K$$

is a p-stabilized vector with respect to $\widehat{Q} = P$ with eigenvalue $\delta_Q^{-\frac{1}{2}}(t_2)s$ because of Proposition 2.3 and the result in Section 4.

7.3.1. *Case I.* In this case, we have

$$\pi_{N_Q, M_Q \cap K_Q} = \chi_1 \otimes (\chi_2 \rtimes \sigma) + \chi_2 \otimes (\chi_1 \rtimes \sigma) + \chi_2^{-1} \otimes (\chi_1 \rtimes \chi_2\sigma) + \chi_1^{-1} \otimes (\chi_2 \rtimes \chi_1\sigma).$$

The element t_2 acts on each irreducible component of $\pi_{N_Q, M_Q \cap K_Q}$ by

$$\delta\gamma, \beta\delta, \alpha\gamma, \alpha\beta$$

respectively.

7.3.2. *Case II.* **Case IIa**. In this case, we get $\pi_{N_Q, M_Q \cap K_Q} = \chi_1 \otimes (\chi_2 \rtimes \sigma) + \chi_2^{-1} \otimes (\chi_1 \rtimes \chi_2\sigma)$. The element t_2 acts on each component by $\delta\gamma$ and $\alpha\gamma$ respectively.

Case IIb. The element t_2 acts on each component of $\pi_{N_Q, M_Q \cap K_Q} = \chi_2 \otimes (\chi_1 \rtimes \sigma) + \chi_1^{-1} \otimes (\chi_2 \rtimes \chi_1\sigma)$ by $\beta\delta$ and $\alpha\beta$ respectively.

7.3.3. *Case III.* **Case IIIa**. In this case, t_2 acts on $\pi_{N_Q,M_Q\cap K_Q} = \chi_2 \otimes (\chi_1 \rtimes \sigma)$ by $\beta\delta$.

Case IIIb. We have $\pi_{N_Q,M_Q\cap K_Q} = \chi \otimes \rho\mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{GSp}(2)} + \chi^{-1} \otimes \chi\rho\mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{GSp}(2)} + \chi_2^{-1} \otimes (\chi_1 \rtimes \chi_2\sigma)$, and t_2 acts on each component of it by $\delta\gamma, \alpha\beta$ and $\alpha\gamma$ respectively.

7.3.4. *Case IV.* **Case IVa**. In this case, π has no K_Q-fixed vectors.

Case IVb. The element t_2 acts on $\pi_{N_Q,M_Q\cap K_Q} = \chi_2 \otimes (\chi_1 \rtimes \sigma)$ by $\beta\delta$.

Case IVc. We have $\pi_{N_Q,M_Q\cap K_Q} = \nu^2 \otimes \nu^{-1}\rho\mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{GSp}(2)} + \chi_2^{-1} \otimes (\chi_1 \rtimes \chi_2\sigma)$, and t_2 acts on each component by $\delta\gamma$ and $\alpha\gamma$ respectively.

Case IVd. The element t_2 acts on $\nu^{-2} \otimes \nu\rho\mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{GSp}(2)}$ by $\alpha\beta$.

7.3.5. *Case V.* **Case Va**. In this case, t_2 acts on $\pi_{N_Q,M_Q\cap K_Q} = \chi_1 \otimes (\chi_2 \rtimes \sigma)$ by $\delta\gamma$.

Case Vb. In this case, t_2 acts on $\pi_{N_Q,M_Q\cap K_Q} = \chi_2^{-1} \otimes (\chi_1 \rtimes \chi_2\sigma)$ by $\alpha\gamma$.

Case Vc. The element t_2 acts on $\pi_{N_Q,M_Q\cap K_Q} = \chi_2 \otimes (\chi_1 \rtimes \sigma)$ by $\beta\delta$.

Case Vd. In this case, t_2 acts on $\pi_{N_Q,M_Q\cap K_Q} = \nu^{-1/2}\xi \otimes (\xi \rtimes \nu^{1/2}\rho)$ by $\alpha\beta$.

7.3.6. *Case VI.* **Case VIa**. We have $\pi_{N_Q,M_Q\cap K_Q} = \chi_1 \otimes (\chi_2 \rtimes \sigma)$, and hence t_2 acts on it by γ^2.

Case VIb. In this case, π has no K_Q-fixed vectors.

Case VIc. The element t_2 acts on $\pi_{N_Q,M_Q\cap K_Q} = \mathbf{1}_{F^\times} \otimes \rho\mathbf{1}_{GSp(2)}$. by $\alpha\gamma$.

Case VId. In this case, t_2 acts on each component of $\pi_{N_Q,M_Q\cap K_Q} = \mathbf{1}_{F^\times} \otimes \rho\mathbf{1}_{GSp(2)} + \chi_1^{-1} \otimes (\chi_2 \rtimes \chi_1\sigma)$ by $\alpha\gamma$ and α^2 respectively.

In Table 5, we list the eigenvalues for t_2 on $(\pi_{N_Q})^{M_Q\cap K_Q}$, where π is a representation in groups I-V. For representations in group VI, we list the multiplicity of the eigenvalues for t_2 on $(\pi_{N_Q})^{M_Q\cap K_Q}$ in Table 6.

representation	$\alpha\beta$	$\alpha\gamma$	$\delta\beta$	$\delta\gamma$	$\dim \pi^{K_Q}$	$\dim \pi^K$
I	○	○	○	○	4	1
IIa	-	○	-	○	2	0
IIb	○	-	○	-	2	1
IIIa	-	-	○	-	1	0
IIIb	○	○	-	○	3	1
IVa	-	-	-	-	0	0
IVb	-	-	○	-	1	0
IVc	-	○	-	○	2	0
IVd	○	-	-	-	1	1
Va	-	-	-	○	1	0
Vb	-	○	-	-	1	0
Vc	-	-	○	-	1	0
Vd	○	-	-	-	1	1

TABLE 5.

representation	α^2	$\alpha\gamma$	γ^2	$\dim \pi^{K_Q}$	$\dim \pi^K$
VIa	0	0	1	1	0
VIb	0	0	0	0	0
VIc	0	1	0	1	0
VId	1	1	0	2	1

TABLE 6.

8. A RELATION TO GLOBAL OBJECTS

In this section, we will concern with global objects and give an answer why we consider the actions of the positive elements in local settings. Basic references of this section are [14] and [8] (see also Section 5 of II in [16]).

Let G be a non-compact connected semisimple algebraic group over $\mathbb{Q}$ and K the maximal compact subgroup of $G(\mathbb{R})$. Let $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ be the complexification of K. Put $D = G(\mathbb{R})/K$ and assume that D is a Hermitian symmetric domain. Let $\lambda : K_{\mathbb{C}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(E_\lambda)$ be an algebraic representation on some complex vector space E_λ. Then we obtain a homogeneous vector bundle $\mathbb{E}_\lambda = G(\mathbb{R}) \times_{K,\lambda|_K} E_\lambda = \mathrm{Ind}_K^{G(\mathbb{R})} \lambda|_K$ on D. Since D is simply connected, there is a (smooth) trivialization

$$\mathbb{E}_\lambda \xrightarrow{\sim} D \times E_\lambda.$$

With respect to this trivialization, the action of $\gamma \in G(\mathbb{R})$ on $D \times E_\lambda$ is given by

$$\gamma(z, v) = (\gamma z, J_\lambda(\gamma, z)v)$$

for some smooth mapping $J_\lambda : G \times D \longrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(E_\lambda)$ which is so called an automorphic factor. The action of $G(\mathbb{R})$ induces the cocycle condition $J_\lambda(\gamma_1\gamma_2, z) = J_\lambda(\gamma_1, \gamma_2 z)J_\lambda(\gamma_2, z)$ for all $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in G(\mathbb{R})$.

For a holomorphic E_λ-valued function f on D, the action of $g \in G(\mathbb{R})$ is defined by

$$(g^{-1}f)(z) = J_\lambda^{-1}(g, z)f(gz).$$

For a discrete subgroup Γ of $G(\mathbb{Q})$ and a finite character $\chi : \Gamma \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$, we say that a holomorphic function $f : D \longrightarrow E_\lambda$ is an automorphic form of weight λ and level Γ with the character χ if it satisfies $\gamma f = \chi(\gamma) f$ for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$. We denote by $M_\lambda(\Gamma, \chi)$ the space of automorphic forms of weight λ and level Γ with the character χ.

Next we construct adelic forms from classical automorphic forms. Let G be a connected reductive group over $\mathbb{Q}$ and Z_G the center of G. Then its derived group G^{der} is a semisimple connected algebraic group. Consider the following exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow G^{\mathrm{der}} \longrightarrow G \xrightarrow{\nu} T := G/G^{\mathrm{der}} \longrightarrow 1$$

where T is a torus (cf. p.303 of [14]) . If $G = \mathrm{GL}_2$ (respectively GSp_{2n}), then $T = \mathbb{G}_m$ and ν is the determinant map (respectively the similitude character). Let $G(\mathbb{R})^+$ be the connected component of the identity element in $G(\mathbb{R})$ with respect to the real topology. For simplicity, in what follows we assume that $G(\mathbb{R})^+ = Z_G(\mathbb{R})^+ G^{\mathrm{der}}(\mathbb{R})^+$ (for example, $G = GSp_{2n}, GL_2, U(p, q), p, q > 0$ satisfy this condition).

Let $\mathbb{A}$ be the adele ring of $\mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathbb{A}_f$ the finite adele of $\mathbb{Q}$. Let K be an open compact subgroup of $G(\mathbb{A}_f)$. Assume that $\nu(K) \supset T(\hat{\mathbb{Z}})$. Then it follows from the strong approximation theorem for G^{der} that

$$G(\mathbb{A}) = G(\mathbb{Q})G(\mathbb{R})^+K = G(\mathbb{Q})Z_G(\mathbb{R})^+G^{\mathrm{der}}(\mathbb{R})^+K. \tag{4}$$

Let $K_\infty^{(1)}$ be the maximal compact group of G^{der} and set $K_\infty = Z(\mathbb{R})^+K_\infty^{(1)}$. Then $D := G(\mathbb{R})^+/K_\infty = G^{\mathrm{der}}(\mathbb{R})/K_\infty^{(1)}$ is the bounded symmetric domain endowed with an involution ι. Let $I \in D$ be the fixed point of ι. From the description of D as above, $Z(\mathbb{R})^+$ acts on I

trivially. Put $\Gamma = G^{\mathrm{der}}(\mathbb{Q}) \cap K$. For an automorphic form $f \in M_\lambda(\Gamma, \chi)$, we define the function $F_f : G(\mathbb{A}) \longrightarrow E_\lambda$ as follows. By (4), it is possible to write a given $g \in G(\mathbb{A})$ as $g = a z_\infty g_\infty k$ with $a \in G(\mathbb{Q})$, $z_\infty \in Z_G(\mathbb{R})^+$, $g_\infty \in G^{\mathrm{der}}(\mathbb{R})^+$, and $k \in K$. Then we put

$$F_f(g) = J_\lambda^{-1}(g_\infty, I)F(g_\infty I).$$

We can check that F_f is an automorphic form on $G(\mathbb{A})$ in the sense of [3]. Let π_f be the automorphic representation of $G(\mathbb{A})$ generated by F_f. We assume that π_f is irreducible.

We now consider Hecke operators and then compare them with those in local settings. For $\alpha \in G(\mathbb{Q})^+ = G(\mathbb{Q}) \cap G(\mathbb{R})^+$, consider the double coset

$$T(\alpha) := \Gamma\alpha\Gamma = \bigcup_i \Gamma\alpha_i.$$

We write $\alpha_i = \alpha_{i,0}\alpha_{i,1}$, where $\alpha_{i,0} \in Z(\mathbb{R})^+$ and $\alpha_{i,1} \in G^{\mathrm{der}}(\mathbb{R})^+$. Then we define the action of $T(\alpha)$ on f by

$$T(\alpha)f(z) = n(\alpha)_\lambda \sum_i (\alpha_{i,1}f)(z)$$

where $n(\alpha)_\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}^\times$ is a normalized factor depending on λ (and also on G). Assume that G is unramified at a rational p and the p-component K_p of K is a compact open subgroup of $G(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ which contains Iwahori subgroup. There exists an open compact subgroup K^p of $G(\mathbb{A}_f^{(p)})$ such that $K = K^p \times K_p$. Note that $F_{f,p}$ is an Iwahori fixed vector of $\pi_{f,p}$. For $\alpha \in G(\mathbb{Q}) \cap T(\mathbb{Q}_p)/T(\mathbb{Z}_p)$, thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} T(\alpha)F_f(g) &= T(\alpha)F_f(g_\infty) \\ &= T(\alpha)J_\lambda^{-1}(g_\infty, I)F(g_\infty I) \\ &= n(\alpha)_\lambda \sum_i J_\lambda^{-1}(g_\infty, I)J_\lambda^{-1}(\alpha_{i,1}, g_\infty I)F(\alpha_{i,1}g_\infty I) \\ &= n(\alpha)_\lambda \sum_i J_\lambda^{-1}(\alpha_{i,1}g_\infty, I)F(\alpha_{i,1}g_\infty I) \\ &= n(\alpha)_\lambda \sum_i F_f(\alpha_{i,1}g_\infty). \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$G(\mathbb{Q})Z_G(\mathbb{R})^+\alpha_{i,1}g_\infty K = G(\mathbb{Q})Z_G(\mathbb{R})^+\alpha_i g_\infty K = G(\mathbb{Q})(g_\infty Z_G(\mathbb{R})^+ \times \alpha_i^{-1}K).$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} T(\alpha)F_f(g) &= n(\alpha)_\lambda \sum_i F_f(g_\infty \alpha_i^{-1}) \\ &= n(\alpha)_\lambda \sum_{h\in K\alpha^{-1}K/K} F_f(g_\infty h) \\ &= n(\alpha)_\lambda \int_K F_f(g_\infty h g_f) dg_f \\ &= n(\alpha)_\lambda \int_{K\alpha^{-1}K} F_f(g_\infty g_f) dg_f \\ &= n(\alpha)_\lambda \int_{G(\mathbb{A}_f)} T(\alpha^{-1})_K F_f(g_\infty g_f) dg_f \\ &= n(\alpha)_\lambda [K_p \alpha^{-1} K_p] F_{f,p} \end{aligned}$$

where dg_f is the Haar measure on $G(\mathbb{A}_f)$ so that $\mathrm{vol}(K) = 1$ and $T(\alpha^{-1})_K := [K_p\alpha^{-1}K_p] \otimes 1_{K^p}$.

We can naturally define the notion of positive or negative elements in the global setting. In the global setting, we usually consider Hecke operators represented by negative elements. By the arguments above, the computation of global Hecke operators is reduced to that of Hecke operators associated to positive elements in the local setting. In the next section, we will give examples of p-stabilized forms in the global setting.

9. p-STABILIZED FORMS

9.1. GL_2**-case.** In this subsection we will refer [17] for some of notions. In this case, we have $G^{\mathrm{der}} = SL_2,\ K = SO(2)(\mathbb{R}),\ K_{\mathbb{C}} \simeq \mathbb{C}^\times$ and the corresponding Hermitian symmetric space is the upper half-plane $\mathbb{H} = \{z \in \mathbb{C}|\ \mathrm{Im}(z) > 0\}$. The automorphic factor is given by $J_k(\gamma, z) = (cz + d)^k$ for $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ and for an integer $k \geq 1$. For an integer $N \geq 1$, we define the congruence subgroup $\Gamma_0(N)$ (respectively $\Gamma_0(N)$) to be the group consisting of the elements $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ such that $c \equiv 0 \bmod N$ (respectively $a - 1 \equiv c \equiv 0 \bmod N$). For an integer $N \geq 1$ and a Dirichlet character $\chi : \Gamma_0(N) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ so that $\chi_{\Gamma_1(N)} = 1$, we define $M_k(\Gamma_0(N), \chi) := M_{\sigma_k}(\Gamma_0(N), \chi)$. For a prime $p \nmid N$, we define the action of Hecke operator $T_p = [\Gamma_0(N)\mathrm{diag}(1, p)\Gamma_0(N)]$ on $f \in M_k(\Gamma_0(N), \chi)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} T_p f(z) :=&\ p^{\frac{k}{2}-1} \sum_{\alpha\in\Gamma_0(N)\backslash\Gamma_0(N)\mathrm{diag}(1,p)\Gamma_0(N)} ((p^{-\frac{1}{2}}\alpha)^{-1} f)(z) \\ =&\ p^{\frac{k}{2}-1} \sum_{\alpha\in\Gamma_0(N)\backslash\Gamma_0(N)\mathrm{diag}(1,p)\Gamma_0(N)} j(p^{-\frac{1}{2}}\alpha, z)^{-k} f(p^{-\frac{1}{2}}\alpha z). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $p^{-\frac{1}{2}}\alpha \in SL_2(\mathbb{R})$. If $p \nmid N$, then we define the action of $U_p = [\Gamma_0(pN)\mathrm{diag}(1, p)\Gamma_0(pN)]$ on $f \in M_k(\Gamma_0(pN), \chi)$ by

$$U_p f(z) := p^{\frac{k}{2}-1} \sum_{\alpha\in\Gamma_0(pN)\backslash\Gamma_0(pN)\mathrm{diag}(1,p)\Gamma_0(pN)} ((p^{-\frac{1}{2}}\alpha)^{-1} f)(z)$$

Let $f \in M_k(\Gamma_0(N), \chi)$ be a normalized cusp form which is an eigenform for all $T_p,\ p \nmid N$ with the eigenvalue $a_p(f)$. Let α_p, β_p be the Satake parameters at p so that $a_p(f) = \alpha_p + \beta_p$ and

$\alpha_p\beta_p = \chi(p)p^{k-1}$. Let π_f be the automorphic representation associated to f and $\pi_{f,p}$ be the local component at p. Since $\pi_{f,p}$ is a principal series representation, there exist characters $\chi_i : \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times,\ i = 1, 2$ such that $\pi_{f,p} \simeq \pi(\chi_1, \chi_2)$. We may put $\chi_1(p^{-1})p^{\frac{k-1}{2}} = \alpha_p,\ \chi_2(p^{-1})p^{\frac{k-1}{2}} = \beta_p$. We define p-stabilized forms as follows:

$$f_{\alpha_p}(z) := f(z) - \beta_p f(pz),\ f_{\beta_p}(z) := f(z) - \alpha_p f(pz).$$

Then $f_{\alpha_p},\ f_{\beta_p} \in M_k(\Gamma_0(pN), \chi)$ and these are Hecke eigenforms for $T_\ell,\ \ell \not| pN$ and U_p-eigenforms with eigenvalues α_p, β_p respectively.

This can be checked via local computation as follows. By using the strong approximation theorem, it is easy to see that $F_{f(pz)}(g) = F_f(g \cdot \mathrm{diag}(1, p))$. Hence the local component of $F_{f_{\alpha_p}}$ (respectively $F_{f_{\beta_p}}$) at p corresponds to $\chi_1(p)f_1$ (respectively $\chi_2(p)f_2$) of Section 5. By the computation of Section 5 again, we have

$$U_p f_{\alpha_p}(z) = p^{\frac{k}{2}-1}p^{\frac{1}{2}}\chi_2^{-1}(p)f_{\alpha_p}(z) = \alpha_p f_{\alpha_p}(z)$$

and

$$U_p f_{\beta_p}(z) = p^{\frac{k}{2}-1}p^{\frac{1}{2}}\chi_1^{-1}(p)f_{\beta_p}(z) = \beta_p f_{\beta_p}(z).$$

We say the above f is p-ordinary if $\mathrm{ord}_p(a_p(f)) = 0$. If so is f, we may assume that $\mathrm{ord}_p(\alpha_p) = 0$ and $\mathrm{ord}_p(\beta_p) > 0$. The above computations show us that f_{α_p} can be embedded into a Hida family if f is p-ordinary. Note that f_{β_p} can be can be embedded into a Coleman family (cf. [6]).

9.2. *GSp_4*-**case.** Let $\nu : G = GSp_4 \longrightarrow GL_1$ be the similitude character. Put $Sp_4 := \mathrm{Ker}\nu$. In this case, we have $G^{\mathrm{der}} = Sp_4,\ K = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ -B & A \end{pmatrix} \in Sp_4(\mathbb{R}) \right\} \simeq U(2)(\mathbb{R}), K_{\mathbb{C}} \simeq GL_2(\mathbb{C})$ and the corresponding Hermitian symmetric space is the Siegel upper half-plane $\mathcal{H}_2 = \{Z \in M_2(\mathbb{C}) |\ {}^tZ = Z,\ \mathrm{Im}(Z) > 0\}$. For a pair of non-negative integers $\underline{k} = (k_1, k_2)$ such that $k_1 \geq k_2$, we define the algebraic representation $\lambda_{\underline{k}}$ of $GL_2(\mathbb{C})$ by

$$\lambda_{\underline{k}} = \mathrm{Sym}^{k_1-k_2}\mathrm{St}_2 \otimes \det{}^{k_2}\mathrm{St}_2$$

where St_2 is the standard representation of dimension 2 over $\mathbb{C}$. Then the corresponding automorphic factor is defined by

$$J_{\underline{k}}(\gamma, Z) = \lambda_{\underline{k}}(CZ + D)$$

for $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in Sp_4(\mathbb{R})$ and $Z \in \mathcal{H}_2$. For an integer $N \geq 1$, we define a principal congruence subgroup $\Gamma(N)$ to be the group consisting of the elements $g \in Sp_4(\mathbb{Z})$ such that $g \equiv 1 \bmod N$. We also define the level of Γ to be the minimal N satisfying (i) $g\Gamma g^{-1}$ contains $\Gamma(N)$ for some $g \in GSp_4(\mathbb{Q})$ and (ii) all divisors of the denominator or the numerator of the entries of g divide N. If Γ is of level N, then the closure $\overline{\Gamma}$ in $Sp_4(\mathbb{A}_f)$ satisfies that the p-component of $\overline{\Gamma}$ is $Sp_4(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ for all $p \not| N$. For a parabolic subgroup P, let $I_P = \Gamma_P(p)$ be the group consisting of the elements $g \in Sp_4(\mathbb{Z})$ such that $(g \bmod p) \in P(\mathbb{F}_p)$. For a discrete subgroup Γ of level N and $p \not| N$, put $\Gamma_{I_P} := \Gamma \cap I_P$.

For a discrete subgroup Γ of level N and a Dirichlet character $\chi : \Gamma \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$, we define $M_{\underline{k}}(\Gamma, \chi) := M_{\lambda_{\underline{k}}}(\Gamma, \chi)$. A function of this space is called a Siegel modular form of weight $\underline{k}$ and level Γ with character χ. If $k = k_1 = k_2$, put $M_k(\Gamma, \chi) := M_{(k,k)}(\Gamma, \chi)$. For a prime $p \not| N$ and $t_1' = \mathrm{diag}(1, 1, p, p),\ t_2' = \mathrm{diag}(1, p, p, p^2)$, we define the action of two Hecke operators

$T_{p,i} = [\Gamma t'_1 \Gamma],\ i = 1,2$ on $f \in M_{\underline{k}}(\Gamma, \chi)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} T_{p,i} f(Z) := &\ p^{i(\frac{k_1+k_2}{2}-3)} \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma \backslash \Gamma t'_i \Gamma} ((\nu(t'_i)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\alpha)^{-1} f)(Z) \\ = &\ p^{i(\frac{k_1+k_2}{2}-3)} \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma \backslash \Gamma t'_i \Gamma} J_{\underline{k}}(\nu(t'_i)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\alpha, Z)^{-1} f(\nu(t'_i)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\alpha Z). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\nu(t'_i)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\alpha \in Sp_4(\mathbb{R})$. If $p \not| N$, then we define the action of $U_{p,i}^{P,\mathrm{global}} = [\Gamma_{I_P} t'_i \Gamma_{I_P}],\ i = 1,2$ on $f \in M_{\underline{k}}(\Gamma_{I_P}, \chi)$ by

$$U_{p,i}^{P,\mathrm{global}} f(Z) := p^{i(\frac{k_1+k_2}{2}-3)} \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma_{I,P} \backslash \Gamma_{I_P} t'_i \Gamma_{I_P}} ((\nu(t'_i)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\alpha)^{-1} f)(Z) \tag{5}$$

In what follows, we will discuss about a p-stabilized form of Saito-Kurokawa lift. For a normalized elliptic newform f of weight $2k-2 \geq 2$ and level N with a character χ^2, there exists a cusp form $F = SK(f)$ in $S_{k-1}(\Gamma_0(N), \chi)$ by [10] so that F is an eigenform for all $T_{p,i},\ p \not| N$ with the eigenvalue $a_{p,i}(F)$ and these eigenvalues are written as

$$a_{p,1}(F) = \chi(p)(p^{k-1} + p^{k-2}) + a_p(f),$$
$$a_{p,2}(F) = a_p(f)\chi(p)(p^{k-2} + p^{k-3}) + 2\chi^2(p)p^{2k-4} - (p^2+1)\chi^2(p)p^{2k-6}$$

where $a_p(f)$ is the eigenvalue of f for T_p in Section 8.1. Let π_f (respectively Π_F) be the automorphic representation associated to f (respectively $F = SK(f)$) and $\pi_{f,p}$ (respectively $\Pi_{F,p}$) the local component at p. Let $\chi' : \mathbb{A}^\times \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ be the character corresponding to χ and denote by χ'_p its local component at p. Since $\pi_{f,p}$ is a principal series representation, there exist characters $\chi_i : \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times,\ i = 1,2$ such that $\pi_{f,p} \simeq \pi(\chi_1, \chi_2)$ with the central character $\chi_1\chi_2 = \chi_p'^{-2}$. Hence we have $\chi_1\chi_2(p) = \chi_p'^2(p^{-1})$. Then by [15], we have $\Pi_{F,p} \simeq \chi_1\chi' 1_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \chi_1^{-1}\chi_p'^{-2}$ which is in the case IIb in Section 7.1.2. Then the eigenvalues of $U_{p,i}^B, i = 1,2$ in the local setting are

$$(p^{\frac{3}{2}}\delta, p^2\delta\beta), (p^{\frac{3}{2}}\alpha, p^2\alpha\beta), (p^{\frac{3}{2}}\beta, p^2\beta\delta), (p^{\frac{3}{2}}\beta, p^2\beta\alpha)$$

where $\alpha = \chi_1(p^{-1}),\ \beta = p^{\frac{1}{2}}\chi'(p),\ \gamma = p^{-\frac{1}{2}}\chi'(p)$ and $\delta = \chi_2(p^{-1})$. We may put $\chi_1(p^{-1})p^{\frac{2k-3}{2}} = \alpha_p(f),\ \chi_2(p^{-1})p^{\frac{2k-3}{2}} = \beta_p(f)$ where $\alpha_p(f), \beta_p(f)$ are eigenvalues of T_p for f. From (5) and the observation in Section 7 (7.1-(3) and 7.1.2 Case IIb),

$$(\beta_p - U_{p,1}^{B,\mathrm{global}})(\chi'(p)p^{k-1} - U_{p,1}^{B,\mathrm{global}})F \tag{6}$$

is a p-stabilized form with eigenvalues $(\alpha_p, p^{k-2}\alpha_p\chi'(p))$ for $U_{p,i}^{B,\mathrm{global}}, i = 1,2$. If we take the Fourier expansion $F = \sum_{T \in \mathrm{Sym}^2(\mathbb{Z})_{>0}} a(T)e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\mathrm{tr}(TZ)}$ where $\mathrm{Sym}^2(\mathbb{Z})_{>0}$ is the subset of $M_2(\mathbb{Q})$ consisting of all symmetric matrices which are positive and semi-integral, then we have

$$(\beta_p - U_{p,1}^{B,\mathrm{global}})(\chi'(p)p^{k-1} - U_{p,1}^{B,\mathrm{global}})F =$$

$$\sum_{T>0} \Big(p^{k-1}\chi'(p)\beta_p a(T) - (\chi'(p)p^{k-1} + \beta_p)a(pT) + a(p^2T)\Big)e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\mathrm{tr}(TZ)}, \tag{7}$$

since the complete system of representatives for $\Gamma_{I,B} \backslash \Gamma_{I_B} t'_1 \Gamma_{I_B}$ is the same as in the case Siegel parabolic and in that case we can compute the action easily.

Similarly, $(\beta_p - U_{p,1}^{Q,\mathrm{global}})(\chi'(p)p^{k-1} - U_{p,1}^{Q,\mathrm{global}})F$ is also a p-stabilized form with eigenvalues α_p for $U_{p,1}^{Q,\mathrm{global}}$ where Q is the Siegel parabolic subgroup (see 7.2-(3) and 7.2.2 Case IIb). As explained above, we have the same expansion. Therefore we have $(\beta_p - U_{p,1}^{B,\mathrm{global}})(\chi'(p)p^{k-1} -$

$U_{p,1}^{B,\mathrm{global}})F = (\beta_p - U_{p,1}^{Q,\mathrm{global}})(\chi'(p)p^{k-1} - U_{p,1}^{Q,\mathrm{global}})F$ for t_1'. If $k \geq 2$, F has p-integral (algebraic) coefficients, then so does $(\beta_p - U_{p,1}^{B,\mathrm{global}})(\chi'(p)p^{k-1} - U_{p,1}^{B,\mathrm{global}})F$. We further assume that $\mathrm{ord}_p(\alpha_p) = 0$ (this implies $\mathrm{ord}_p(\beta_p) > 0$). Then by using the relation of Hecke operators (cf. p.228, Example 4.2.10 of [1]), we see that $\mathrm{ord}_p(a(p^2T_0)) = 0$ if $\mathrm{ord}_p(a(T_0)) = 0$ for some $T_0 \in \mathrm{Sym}^2(\mathbb{Z})_{>0}$. Hence $(\beta_p - U_{p,1}^{B,\mathrm{global}})(\chi'(p)p^{k-1} - U_{p,1}^{B,\mathrm{global}})F$ is not only preserved p-integrality, but also it does not vanish modulo p.

References

[1] A. N. Andrianov, Quadratic forms and Hecke operators, Springer Berlin 1987.

[2] A. Borel, Automorphic L -functions. Automorphic forms, representations and L -functions (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Oregon State Univ., Corvallis, Ore., 1977), Part 2, pp. 27-61, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., XXXIII, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1979.

[3] A. Borel and H. Jacquet, Automorphic forms and automorphic representations. With a supplement "On the notion of an automorphic representation" by R. P. Langlands. Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., XXXIII, Automorphic forms, representations and L -functions (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Oregon State Univ., Corvallis, Ore., 1977), Part 1, pp. 189-207.

[4] C. J. Bushnell and P. C. Kutzko, Smooth representations of reductive p -adic groups: structure theory via types. Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 77 (1998), no. 3, 582-634.

[5] W. Casselman, Introduction to admissible representations of p-adic groups, available at his homepage.

[6] Robert F. Coleman, Classical and overconvergent modular forms, Invent. Math. 124 (1996), no. 1-3, 215-241.

[7] P. Garrett, Representations with Iwahori-fixed vectors, note, available at http://www.math.umn.edu/∼garrett/m/v/.

[8] M. Goresky, Compactifications and cohomology of modular varieties. Harmonic analysis, the trace formula, and Shimura varieties, 551-582, Clay Math. Proc., 4, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005.

[9] R. Howe. *Harish-Chandra homomorphisms for p-adic groups*, volume 59 of *CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics*. Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC, 1985. With the collaboration of A. Moy.

[10] T. Ibukiyama, Saito-Kurokawa liftings of level N and practical construction of Jacobi forms, Kyoto J. Math. Volume 52, Number 1 (2012), 141-178.

[11] H. Jacquet, Sur les representations des groupes reductifs p-adiques. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. A-B 280 (1975), Aii, A1271-A1272.

[12] D. Keys, Principal series representations of special unitary groups over local fields. Compositio Math. 51 (1984), no. 1, 115-130.

[13] B. Mazur, An "infinite fern" in the universal deformation space of Galois representations. *Collect. Math.*, 48(1-2):155–193, 1997. Journées Arithmétiques (Barcelona, 1995).

[14] J. S. Milne, Introduction to Shimura varieties. In *Harmonic analysis, the trace formula, and Shimura varieties*, volume 4 of *Clay Math. Proc.*, pages 265–378. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005.

[15] B. Roberts and R. Schmidt, Local newforms for GSp(4). Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1918. Springer, Berlin, 2007. viii+307 pp.

[16] I. Satake, Algebraic structures of symmetric domains. Kano Memorial Lectures, 4. Iwanami Shoten, Tokyo; Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1980. xvi+321 pp.

[17] G. Shimura, Introduction to the arithmetic theory of automorphic functions. Reprint of the 1971 original. Publications of the Mathematical Society of Japan, 11. Kano Memorial Lectures, 1. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1994.

[18] C. Skinner and E. Urban, Sur les déformations p-adiques de certaines representations automorphes. J. Inst. Math. Jussieu 5 (2006), no. 4, 629-698.

[19] J. Tilouine, Nearly ordinary rank four Galois representations and p-adic Siegel modular forms. With an appendix by Don Blasius. Compos. Math. 142 (2006), no. 5, 1122-1156.

Faculty of Liberal Arts and Sciences, Osaka Prefecture University, 1-1 Gakuen-cho, Nakaku, Sakai, Osaka 599-8531, JAPAN

E-mail address: `michitaka.miyauchi@gmail.com`

Department of mathematics, Faculty of Education, Kagoshima University, Korimoto 1-20-6 Kagoshima 890-0065, JAPAN

E-mail address: `yamauchi@edu.kagoshima-u.ac.jp or tyama@math.toronto.edu`